

0957-4166(95)00289-8

ENANTIOSELECTIVE CATALYTIC INTRAMOLECULAR CYCLOPROPANATION OF ALLYLIC α -DIAZOPROPIONATES OPTIMIZED WITH DIRHODIUM(II) TETRAKIS[METHYL 2-OXAZOLIDINONE-4(S OR R)-CARBOXYLATE]

Michael P. Doyle* and Qi-Lin Zhou

Department of Chemistry, Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78212

Summary: High enantiocontrol, up to 85% ee, has been achieved in intramolecular cyclopropanation reactions of representative allylic α -diazopropionates with the catalytic uses of $Rh_2(4S-MEOX)_4$.

Asymmetric catalytic eyeloaddition of electrophilic metal carbenes to alkenes is a facile methodology for highly enantioselective cyclopropane syntheses. 1-3 Intramolecular reactions with allylic diazoacetates using catalytic amounts of dirhodium(II) tetrakis[methyl 2-oxapyrrolidine-5(S or R)-carboxylate], Rh₂(5S-MEPY)₄ or Rh₂(5R-MEPY)₄, produce the corresponding cyclopropane-fused bicyclic lactones in good yields and, generally, with exceptionally high levels of enantiocontrol ($\geq 94\%$ ee). Analogous alkenyl diazomethyl ketones undergo intramolecular cyclopropanation catalyzed by chiral semicorrin-ligated copper in moderate yields and high enantioselectivities (up to 95% ee) in certain cases.⁵ However, replacement of the hydrogen on the diazo carbon of a diazoacetate or a diazomethyl ketone by a larger substituent, typically COOMe, significantly decreases enantioselectivity so that the highest levels that have been achieved in cyclopropanation reactions are only 35-40% ee:5-7 the implication is that there are severe constraints on enantioselectivity for formation of cyclopropane derivatives possessing a quaternary center alpha to the carbonyl group. α-Diazopropionates are more reactive than α-diazo-β-ketoesters towards diazo decomposition and, although the intermediate carbene can undergo facile 1,2-hydrogen migration,8 intramolecular carbonyl ylide and C-H insertion reactions of α-diazoalkyl ketones catalyzed by rhodium(II) carboxylates have been reported to occur with negligible or only modest competition from hydrogen migration. 9,10 We are now able to report that allylic α -diazopropionates undergo intramolecular cyclopropanation catalyzed by dirhodium(II) tetrakis[methyl 2-oxazolidinone-4(S or R)carboxylate], Rh₂(4S-MEOX)₄ or Rh₂(4R-MEOX)₄, in good yields and with enantioselectivities up to 85% ee.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\text{COOMe} \\
\text{N} \longrightarrow_{4} \text{Rh}_{2} \\
\text{O} \longrightarrow_{4} \text{Rh}_{2}$$

$$\text{Rh}_{2}(5S\text{-MEPY})_{4}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\text{COOMe} \\
\text{N} \\
\text{O}
\end{pmatrix}_{4} R h_{2}$$

Rh₂(4S-MEOX)₄

Allylic α -diazopropinates were prepared in good yields by diazo transfer to allylic α -formylpropionates. Diazo decomposition of the 3-methyl-2-buten-1-yl diazo ester (eq. 1) was evaluated for enanticocontrol in the formation of 2 with a selection of chiral dirhodium(II) catalysts that included carboxamidates and carboxylates (4 and 5), and the

Table 1. Intramolecular cyclopropanation of 1. Yield and % ee of 2 as a function of dirhodium(II) catalyst.

	yield,			yield,	
catalyst	T _e	% eeb	catalyst	%	$\% ee^b$
Rh ₂ (5S-MEPY) ₄	57	25	4	72	19
$Rh_2(4S\text{-MACIM})_4$	71	52	5	83	6
$Rh_2(4S-MPAIM)_4$	63	63	$Rh_2(4S\text{-MEOX})_4$	81	71
$Rh_2(4S\text{-MPPIM})_4$	84	61^{c}	$Rh_2(4R-MEOX)_4$	75	69^d

^aReactions were performed in refluxing CH₂Cl₂ using 1.0 mol % of catalyst. With Rh₂(OAc)₄, 53% yield of **2**. ^bBaseline separation on a 30-m Chiraldex B-PH column operated at 100°C for 30 min, then programmed to 150°C at 0.2°/min: 43.5 min (minor isomer), 44.6 min (major isomer). $^{c}[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = +47.9$ (c 1.49, CHCl₃) for 61% ee. d Major isomer at 43.5 min on B-PH column.

$$\begin{pmatrix} COOMe \\ N & N & ARh_2 \\ O & N & PhSO_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Ph & COO \\ NPhth \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Rh_2 & PhSO_2 \\ R = Me, Rh_2(4S-MAClM)_4 & 4 & 5 \\ R = CH_2Ph, Rh_2(4S-MPAIM)_4 \\ R = CH_2Ph, Rh_2(4S-MPPIM)_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

results are reported in Table 1. Neither the McKervey dirhodium(II) chiral prolinate 4^{12} nor the Ikegami chiral phthalimidate-phenyl alanate 5^{13} was as effective as any of the chiral dirhodium(II) carboxamidate catalysts, and, among the latter, Rh₂(4S-MEOX)₄ gave the highest level of enantiocontrol (71% ee). ^{14,15} 1,2-Hydrogen migration to form 3 was negligible or of minor importance (0-7%, except for Rh₂(4S-MPAIM)₄: 17%).

Extension of this methodology to *cis*-disubstituted allylic α -diazopropionates (**6a,b**) provided bicyclic lactones (eq. 2) with yields and enantiomeric excesses that are reported in Table 2. Like *cis*-disubstituted allylic diazoacetates, for which enantiomeric excesses of \geq 94% ee were achieved with the use of Rh₂(MEPY)₄ catalysts, ⁴ diazo decomposition of **6a,b** also occurred with high enantiocontrol optimized with Rh₂(4S-MEOX)₄. With *trans*-disubstituted allylic α -diazopropionates (**6c,6d**), like *trans*-disubstituted allylic diazoacetates, ⁴ intramolecular cyclopropanation (eq. 3) occurred with lower enantiocontrol, even with Rh₂(4S-MEOX)₄. In these cases, but especially with **6c**, 1,2-hydrogen migration occurred in competition with intramolecular cyclopropanation.

$$R = n-Pr$$

$$Ge, R = Ph$$

$$R = n-Pr$$

$$Ge, R = n-Pr$$

$$Ge, R = Ph$$

$$R = n-Pr$$

$$Ge, R = n-Pr$$

$$R = n-Pr$$

$$R$$

Table 2. Intramolecular cyclopropanation of **6a-d.** Yield and \mathscr{G} ee of **7a-d** as a function of dirhodium(II) catalyst.^a

compound			yield 7 %	% ec 7	yield 8 , %
	R =	catalyst			
\mathbf{a}^b	n-Pr	Rh ₂ (4S-MPAIM) ₄	47	37 ^c	18
	n-Pr	$Rh_2(5S-MEPY)_4$	87	59 ^c	7
	n-Pr	$Rh_2(4S-MEOX)_4$	62	$85^{c,d}$	20
b	Ph	$Rh_2(4S-MPPIM)_4$	57	36 ^e	14
	Ph	$Rh_2(5S-MEPY)_4$	77	55 ^e	5
	Ph	$Rh_2(4S\text{-MEOX})_4$	65	$78^{e,f}$	21
c	n-Pr	$Rh_2(4S-MPAIM)_4$	45	298	18
	n-Pr	$Rh_2(4S\text{-MEOX})_4$	46	52g,h	40
d	Ph	$Rh_2(4S-MPAIM)_4$	72	36^{i}	9
	Ph	$Rh_2(4S\text{-MEOX})_4$	70	$43^{i,j}$	12

^dReactions were performed in refluxing CH₂Cl₂ using 1.0 mol % of catalyst. ^bDirhodium(II) carboxylates produced 7a in 91% yield (7% ee) with 4 and 90% yield (0% ee) with 5. ^cBaseline separation on a 30-m Chiraldex G-TA column operated at 100°C for 60 min then programmed to 150° at 0.3°/min: 102.8 min (minor isomer), 104.6 min (major isomer). ^d[α]_D²³ = +42.5 (c 0.40, CHCl₃) for 85% ee. ^eBaseline separation on a 30-m Chiraldex G-TA column operated at 150°C: 45.1 min (major isomer), 49.0 min (minor isomer). ^f[α]_D²³ = -89.2 (c 1.11, CHCl₃) for 78% ee. ^gBaseline separation on a 30-m Chiraldex G-TA column operated at 100°C for 20 min then programmed to 150°C at 1°/min: 59.7 min (major isomer), 69.2 min (minor isomer) ^h[α]_D²³ = +37.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃) for 52% ee. ⁱBaseline separation on a 30-m Chiraldex G-TA column operated at 150°C: 63.0 min (major isomer), 81.2 min (minor isomer). ^j[α]_D²³ = +26.4 (c 0.55, CHCl₃) for 43% ee

Enantiocontrol from intramolecular cyclopropanation of allylic α -diazopropionates parallels that from reactions of allylic diazoacetates. The relative effectiveness of $Rh_2(4S\text{-MEOX})_4$ is due, at least in part, to the openness of the volume segment of the catalyst that can accommodate the methyl substituent of the carbene. This openness, which follows the order $Rh_2(4S\text{-MEOX})_4 > Rh_2(5S\text{-MEPY})_4 > Rh_2(4S\text{-MACIM})_4$ and other imidazolidinone-ligated catalysts, is suggested by the X-ray crystal structures for the nutrile complexes of these chiral dirhodium(II) carboxamidates 14,17 and is reflected in the % ce values obtained from their use. Increasing the size of the carbene substituent

from Me (in 1 and 6) to Ph was expected to significantly diminish enanticoontrol, and this has been realized in intramolecular cyclopropanation of phenyldiazoacetate analogs of 6c,d (8-26% ee when R = n-Pr, < 3% ee and incomplete reaction when R = Ph).

The extent of 1,2-hydrogen migration is highest with Rh₂(4S-MEOX)₄ and lowest for Rh₂(5S-MEPY)₄. In addition, the yield of **8** is higher with 3-alkyl substituents on the allylic double bond than with phenyl. Dirhodium(II) carboxylates reduce the importance of **8** further. The competition between **7** and **8** appears to be due to both electronic and steric factors, and continuing efforts are being directed to improving enantioselection while reducing the importance of 1,2-hydrogen migration.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health (GM 46503) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank M.A. McKervey for providing us with a generous sample of 4.

References and Notes

- 1. Doyle, M. P. In Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis; Ojima, I., Ed.; VCH Publishers: New York, 1993.
- 2. Salaün, J. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1247.
- 3. Ye, T.; McKervey, M. A. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1091.
- 4. Doyle, M. P.; Austin, R. E.; Bailey, A. S.; Dwyer, M. P.; Dyatkin, A. B.; Kalinin, A. V.; Kwan, M. M. Y.; Liras, S.; Oalmann, C. J.; Pieters, R. J.; Protopopova, M. N.; Raab, C. E.; Roos, G. H. P.; Zhou, Q.-L.; Martin, S.F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5763.
- 5. Piqué, C.; Fähndrich, B.; Pfaltz, A. Synlett 1995, 491.
- 6. Koskinen, A. M. P.; Hassila, H. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4479.
- 7. Dauben, W. G.; Hendricks, R. T.; Luzzio, M. J.; Ng, H. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6969.
- 8. Hudlicky, T.; Olivo, H. F.; Natchus, M. G.; Umpierrez, E. F.; Pandolfi, E.; Volonterio, C. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4767.
- 9. Padwa, A.; Kulkarni, Y. S.; Zhang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4144.
- 10. Taber, D. F.; Hennessy, M. J.; Louey, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 436.
- 11. Regitz, M. Synthesis 1972, 351.
- 12. (a) Kennedy, M.; McKervey, M. A.; Maguire, A. R.; Roos, G. H. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 361. (b) McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 823.
- 13. (a) Hashimoto, S.; Watanabe, N.; Ikegami, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 5173. (b) Hashimoto, S.; Watanabe, N.; Kawano, K.; Ikegami, S. Synth. Commun. 1994, 24, 3277.
- 14. The preparation and characterization of Rh₂(4S-MEOX)₄ has been reported: Doyle, M. P.; Dyatkin, A. B.; Protopopova, M. N.; Yang, C. I.; Miertschin, C. S.; Winchester, W. R. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1995**, 114, 163.
- 15. Spectral and elemental analyses were performed on all new compounds, and they are consistent with the assigned structures.
- 16. Absolute configurations of products are assigned by inference to the products from intramolecular cyclopropanation of allylic diazoacetates.⁴ Sign of rotation and values of the specific rotation as well as the order of chromatographic elution are consistent with this assignment.
- 17. Doyle, M. P.; Winchester, W. R.; Hoorn, J. A. A.; Lynch, V.; Simonsen, S. H.; Ghosh, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 9968.